

Petroleum Base"; (loose label) "1 Oz. * * * Pyl-tone Pile Ointment * * * The Mergh Laboratories Distributors Box 2001—Amarillo, Texas."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in the above-mentioned leaflets accompanying the article were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for hard, unnatural growths in the rectum; conditions manifested by bleeding from the rectum; discharges from piles; and for dissolving blood clots in piles and drawing out poisonous fluids from the body. The article was not an adequate and effective treatment for such conditions and purposes. The article was misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

DISPOSITION: June 28, 1954. The Mergh Laboratories, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

4439. Misbranding of Bara Dermin and Bara Paraderm. U. S. v. 750 Cartoned Tubes, etc. (F. D. C. No. 36513. Sample Nos. 46085-L, 46086-L.)

LIBEL FILED: April 20, 1954, District of Rhode Island.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 17 and 25, 1953, by the Bara Farmacal Corp., from New York, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 750 cartoned tubes of *Bara Dermin* and 762 cartoned tubes of *Bara Paraderm* at Providence, R. I.

LABEL, IN PART: (Carton) "Bara Dermin 1 oz. Net Wt. Antiseptic Skin Balm * * * Contains: Pot. Hydroxyquinolin Sulph., Chlorocresol, Geraniol, Mineral Oil, Petrolatum, Oil-in-Water Emulsion" and "Bara Paraderm 2 Oz. Net Wt. Burn Ointment * * * Contains: Lanolin, Neatsfoot Oil, Olive Oil, Phenyl Salicylate, Cetyl Alcohol, in an Emollient Base."

NATURE OF CHARGE: *Bara Dermin*. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the carton label and in the leaflet bearing the words "Dermin Antiseptic Skin Balm New Advance In Skin Therapy," which was enclosed in each carton, were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for rashes, pimples, itching, sores, boils, eczema, septic infections, and impetigo. The article was not an adequate and effective treatment for such conditions.

Bara Paraderm. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the carton label and in the leaflet bearing the words "Paraderm Instant Action Burn Ointment New Formula For Burn Therapy," enclosed in each carton of the article, were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article ensured an adequate and effective protection against X-rays and high frequency rays, such as atomic rays, and that the article constituted an adequate and effective treatment for burns. The article was not an adequate and effective protection against X-rays and high frequency rays, such as atomic rays, and was not an adequate and effective treatment for burns.

DISPOSITION: May 14, 1954. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

4440. Misbranding of Ridd medicated powder. U. S. v. 9 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 36727. Sample No. 67404-L.)

LIBEL FILED: May 3, 1954, Northern District of Texas.